

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/849,525	08/29/1997	GHITA LANZENDORFER	435-WCG	3976
7590 . 10/07/2003			EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.			SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J	
220 EAST 42N 30TH FLOOR	D STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	3

31

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`o	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/849,525	LANZENDORFER ET AL.				
nancery neuen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	-	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 July 2003. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						
		HUSSEL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: claims 32 is amended and changes the scope of pending claim, trues, requiing further consideration. Applicant's Amendment is also inconclusive. Applicant's amendment seems to raise a new 112 2nd issue. At page 8, lines 1-2 of the amendment, Applicant asserts that claim 31 is amended, however, the amended claims appear to be claim 32.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to claims after they are amended following a final rejection. Thus, claims 32-33 are rejected for the reasons of record. With respect to claims 19-28, Applicant argues that the secondary reference of Middleton provide a teaching away from the primary reference of N'Guyen. In response, Examiner states that the rejection is based on combined teachings of the references. As provided in previous Office Action, Middleton teaches topical administeration of flavonoids. In fact, Applicant's arguments does not give any weight to the teachings of Harrisson and how solar radiation causes immunesuppression. Finally, the scope of instant claims are not directed to any specific path of immunosuppression, therefore, any attenuation of immune system would meet the instant limitation of "immunosuppression," and the combined teachings of the references meet such limitation.